

## BETA 2016 competition – jury report AMBIENT, SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING, COLLECTIVE HOUSING, COMMERCIAL, SERVICES, INSTITUTIONAL, CULTURAL and HERITAGE Goran Vojvodić, Adrian Untaru, Balázs Marián

Total number of submitted works (from all 8 categories): **29** Disqualified works: **2** Total number of works entering the competition: **27** 

Distribution of the works entering the competition, on categories: AMBIENT: **12** SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING: COLLECTIVE HOUSING: COMMERCIAL: SERVICES: INSTITUTIONAL: CULTURAL: HERITAGE:

Distribution of the works after the preselection, on categories: AMBIENT: **7** SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING: COLLECTIVE HOUSING: COMMERCIAL: SERVICES: INSTITUTIONAL: CULTURAL: HERITAGE:

The jury has analysed and evaluated the submitted works according to the following pre-established common criteria for all the 8 competitional categories (AMBIENT, SIGLE FAMILY HOUSING, COLLECTIVE HOUSING, COMMERCIAL, SERVICES, INSTITUTIONAL, CULTURAL and HERITAGE):

- functionality/ use value;
- design excellence/ creativity;
- urban context/ conceptuality;
- relevance to the topic;
- ambient and atmosphere creation;
- innovative use of materials, details.

#### **AMBIENT** category

1

This category was intensely represented in the competion, considering both the number of entries as well as the level of design quality. Thus, the jury has decided to offer four awards to this category for the following entries:



#### NOMINEE TAO03 Something Yellow...something Blue - a penthouse for two Author: Alexandru Buftea Collaborators: Farcaş Cornel

The jury has appreciated the ability to improve the quality of some of the existing spaces with a good example in the terrace/patio as well as the overall outcome of the design process. Nevertheless, some of the efforts for the interior design process exceeds their outcome.

## NOMINEE AET10 Aethernativ Author: Raoul Chiricheș Collaborators: Dan Vișovan

The project reflects a good design approach, considering both the small scale of design intervention as well as the budget controlled by the design process.

Thus, the design approach succeeded to create a nice atmosphere, through small but powerful gestures like the exposure of the ceilings, the materials used and the integration of the proposed new objects.

## MENTION PS003 Dental Praxis Author: Attila Wenczel Collaborators: Norbert Ianko, Claudiu Toma

The project reaches a good design detail level. The jury has appreciated the control of the design process as well as the construction execution, together with the boldness of the gestures chosen, even if some spaces like the entrance waiting area remained maybe without the same level of design attention.

# AWARD

# VA016

## Redesigning of an old heating facility Authors: Paul Buchert, Rudolf Gräf, Lavinia Popa Collaborators: Adelina Cioată, Cristina Rămneanțu, Andreea Pătroi, Raoul Chiricheș, Pataki Farkas

The jury decided to award this project for the overall quality of the design process. The jury has appreciated the design direction of blending within the industrial format of the existing space in a manner that enhanced its quality both from the perspective of its function, the previous industrial one that was enlighten by the design approach, as well as strictly from the physical qualities of the existing structure that was exposed and carefully treated.

# SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING category

## NOMINEE UTR87

# Author: Tudose Mihai Mircea

The project is an introvert atrium house with a tricky spatial organization where sense of coziness is one of the most determining aspect. The spaces create impressive ambient and atmosphere.



#### AWARD HOA16 Home of art Author: Vlad Gaivoronschi Collaborators: Dan Munteanu, Bogdan Raț, Iulia Frățilă

The project is a very nice example of an extention of living spaces. The encounter of an old house with the recent needs brings extremely interesting and inspirating spatial situations. The new wing of the existing building create excellent relation between interior and exterior spaces, between garden and living rooms through a semi-open terrace which reorganize and redefine the life of the house and gives new meaning and use to it.

## **COLLECTIVE HOUSING** category

Evaluating the entries, the jury decision is not to award either of them due to the fact that the works do not meet the jury's judging criteria of this category. Also, one of the entries(LIO16) is not fitting into the category satisfactorily.

## **COMMERCIAL** category

#### AWARD CBC16 City Business Centre - final ensemble (C-D-E buildings and public space) Author: Vlad Gaivoronschi Co-author: Dan Munteanu

Although this work is a huge scale commercial intervention, it is integrated into the urban environment so careful and sensitive. The project created new urban places and passage, and these open, semi-open and covered spaces are organizing well the life of surrounding. Its appearance and accessibility from public spaces was designed in excellent way and the building complex has very clear spatial organization too. The Business Centre's direct connections between interior and exterior spaces help to integrate it to the urban tissue. Its multifunctional spaces are so flexible and also useable for different purpose in the future. The used materials, the choosen structural solutions, light system and details are in a very high level, assuring the durability of the complex.

## SERVICES category

Evaluating the entries, **the jury decided to award both entries** due to exceptional quality that show high level of authenticity of design and a powerful artistic expression:

## AWARD LLS38 Rammed Earth House Authors: Silvestru Mihai, Paută-Colibășanu Raluca Collaborators: Delia Ciurariu, Maria Sgîrcea, Lidia Păcurar

The jury highly appreciated the idea and the realisation of a simply functional object whose form and materialisation confirms modern standards.

ORDINUL ARHITECȚILOR DIN ROM NIA - FILIALA TERITORIALĂ TIMIȘ Sediu: Str. Diaconu Coresi nr. 12, 300558 Timișoara, jud. Timiș, România Cod fiscal: 14265687 | Banca: Banca Romanească | IBAN: RO13BRMA0300020260600000



#### AWARD BET07 Berăria 700 Author: Adina Szitar Co-author: Roland Rigler Collaborator: Daniela Novac

Exceptional quality of this project is successfully solved aspect of functionality and visual communication with a very specific environment - Class A heritage protected object.

#### **INSTITUTIONAL** category

Comparing the final entries in this category - PRO19 and DCX16 - the jury consider that both have significant individual qualities, but according to overall criteria, the works cannot fully achieve the awarded projects level. For this reason, the jury classified them in the category of mentioned works:

#### MENTION

PRO19 City Archives of Novi Sad Author: Marija Milin Krunić Co-author: Borza Sergiu

MENTION DCX16 3C/Community Center Calacea Author: Drăgan Dragomir (D-ConteXt Studio) Co-author: Borza Sergiu

HERITAGE category

## AWARD CUO07 Cazarma U Author: Anca Timofticiuc

## Co-authors: Marius Mensing, Monica Sache, Vitali Stănilă

Heritage category has only one entry: the temporary interventions of The Vienna Garrison. This work is so sensitive and emotional and playing with very simple tools. The white benches in the courtyard, lights and installations bring a strong relation to time and remembrance: defining the spirit of time and place. In our changing world the protection of the valuable phisical enviroment became extremly important: responsibility is not only a material/economic question, but primarily a cultural/social issue: the created buildings surrounding us both convey values and are values in themselves; in other words, they are responsible for ensuring the continuity of history. Yet due to its endurance and the often irreplaceable values it embodies, architecture cannot be regarded as a mere question of conserving material characteristics; buildings do not represent the desire to become lifeless monuments or symbolize a longing for a dream world of the past. The endurance of a building lies within its relevance, or in other words, its progressiveness.

This project helps to understand in a very nice way our past and our role and responsibility in the reshape of our constantly changing physical environment.

Jury President, Goran Vojvodić